

**Table 8-5. Results of the Comparative Rankings of Site-Wide Alternatives Relative to the Detailed Evaluation Criteria**

Site-Wide Alternative	Components of the Alternative (Additions to the prior numbered alternative)	Results of the Comparative Rankings for the 8 Detailed Evaluation Criteria [1]				
		LOW	LOW/MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE/HIGH	HIGH
1	No Action	XXXX		X		XXXX
2	1+ Removal of sediment source material in the ECC 1+ Removal of potential risks to public safety in the CWA 1+ Monitored Natural Attenuation / Recovery in other areas/media		XXX	XXX	XXX	
3	2+ Removal of soil that exceeds UCLs or Human Health and Ecological PRGs at these same locations 2+ Removal of sediment in areas outside of the ECC to achieve the Human Health and Ecological PRGs on a Site-wide basis		X	XXXXXXXX	X	
4-1	3+ Removal of soil in the non-disposal upland areas (i.e., PGA and SCCA) to achieve the Human Health PRGs for all COCs			XXXXX	XX	XX
4-2	3+ Removal of soil in the non-disposal upland areas (i.e., PGA and SCCA) to achieve the Human Health and Ecological PRGs for mercury and lead			XXXXX	X	XXX
4-3	3+ Removal of soil in the upland areas to achieve the Human Health and Ecological PRGs for all COCs 3+ Removal of sediment in areas outside of the ECC to achieve the Human Health and Ecological PRGs on an area-by-area basis		XXXX	X	XX	XX
5	4-3+ Removal of additional sediment and soil to "Approach Background"	XXXX	X	X		XXX

**NOTE:**  
[1] Nine "Xs" are shown for each alternative since Short-Term Risks and Residual Risks were evaluated as separate criteria.